

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Chapter 4	Environmental and Economic Consequences	4-1
4.1	Description of Alternatives	4.1-1
4.1.1	Policy to Increase Protection to Marine Mammals and Seabirds (Alternative 2)	4.1-1
4.1.1.1	Summary of Alternative 2 Regime	4.1-1
4.1.1.2	Formulation of Alternatives	4.1-4
4.1.1.3	Selection of Case Studies	4.1-4
4.1.1.4	Identification of Fisheries Involved in Selected Case Studies	4.1-7
4.1.1.5	Management Tools to Address the Selected Cases	4.1-9
4.1.1.6	Development of the Alternative Fishery Management Plan Regime	4.1-12
4.1.1.7	The Two Alternative Regimes Emphasizing Marine Mammal and Seabird Protection	4.1-15
4.1.1.8	Marine Mammal/Seabird Protection Alternative 2.1 ("Low and Slow")	4.1-16
4.1.1.9	Marine Mammal and Seabird Protection Alternative 2.2 ("Short-Burst")	4.1-17
4.1.1.10	Characterization of the Fisheries after the Implementation of Alternatives 2.1 and 2.2	4.1-26
4.1.2	Policy to Increase Protection to Target Species (Alternative 3)	4.1-35
4.1.2.1	Summary of Alternative 3 Regime	4.1-42
4.1.2.2	Discussion of Tools Reviewed	4.1-46
4.1.2.3	Definition of Tools and Their Use in the Alternative Management Regime	4.1-56
4.1.2.4	Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements and Observer Program	4.1-63
4.1.3	Policy to Increase Protection to Non-Target Species (Alternative 4)	4.1-65
4.1.3.1	Summary of Alternative 4 Regime	4.1-65
4.1.3.2	Formulation of Alternative Management Regime	4.1-68
4.1.3.3	Development of Specific Management Measures for Non-Target Species Groups	4.1-77
4.1.4	Policy to Increase Protection to Habitat (Alternative 5)	4.1-108
4.1.4.1	Summary of Alternative 5 Regime	4.1-108
4.1.4.2	Consideration of Alternative Management Measures	4.1-113
4.1.4.3	Tools Selected for Consideration in Alternative Management Regime	4.1-117
4.1.4.4	Definition of Alternative Management Regime	4.1-120
4.1.5	Policy to Increase Socioeconomic Benefits (Alternative 6)	4.1-123
4.1.5.1	Summary of Alternative 6 Regime	4.1-123
4.1.5.2	Development of the Alternative 6.1	4.1-125
4.1.5.3	Consideration of Other Management Measures for Alternative 6.1	4.1-138
4.1.5.4	Development of Alternative 6.2	4.1-144

4.1.6	Description of Analytical Model Used in Preparing Programmatic SEIS	4.1-145
4.1.6.1	Introduction	4.1-145
4.1.6.2	Stock Projection Model for Certain Target Species	4.1-145
4.1.6.3	Multispecies Inseason Management Model Used to Estimate Annual Catch for Each Alternative	4.1-153
4.1.6.4	Method Used to Estimate the 1997–1999 Catch of Total Allowable Catch Species and Prohibited Species	4.1-162
4.1.6.5	Method Used to Estimate the 1997–1999 Bycatch of Non-Target Species	4.1-162
4.1.6.6	Methods Used to Estimate Exvessel Value and Product Value	4.1-163
4.2	Effects of Alternatives on Marine Mammals	4.2-1
4.2.1	Effects of the Alternatives on Steller Sea Lions	4.2-3
4.2.1.1	Effects of Alternative 1 (No Action) on Steller Sea Lions	4.2-4
4.2.1.2	Effects of Alternatives 2.1 and 2.2 (Increased Protection for Marine Mammals and Seabirds) on Steller Sea Lions	4.2-21
4.2.1.3	Effects of Alternative 3 (Increased Protection for Target Species) on Steller Sea Lions	4.2-31
4.2.1.4	The Effects of Alternative 4 (Increased Protection for Non-Target Species) on Steller Sea Lions	4.2-32
4.2.1.5	The Effects of Alternative 5 (Increased Protection for Habitat) on Steller Sea Lions	4.2-33
4.2.1.6	The Effects of Alternative 6.1 (Increased Long-Term Socioeconomic Benefits) on Steller Sea Lions	4.2-35
4.2.1.7	Effects of Alternative 6.2 (Increased Socioeconomic Benefit - Narrow Focus) on Steller Sea Lions	4.2-36
4.2.2	Effects of the Alternatives on Northern Fur Seals	4.2-37
4.2.2.1	Effects of Alternative 1 on Northern Fur Seals	4.2-38
4.2.2.2	The Effects of Alternatives 2.1 and 2.2 (Increased Protection for Marine Mammals and Seabirds) on Northern Fur Seals	4.2-40
4.2.2.3	The Effects of Alternative 3 (Increased Protection of Target Species) on Northern Fur Seals	4.2-41
4.2.2.4	The Effects of Alternative 4 (Increased Protection for Non-Target Species) on Northern Fur Seals	4.2-42
4.2.2.5	The Effects of Alternative 5 (Increased Protection for Habitat) on Northern Fur Seals	4.2-43
4.2.2.6	The Effects of Alternative 6.1 (Increased Long-Term Socioeconomic Benefits) on Northern Fur Seals	4.2-44
4.2.2.7	The Effects of Alternative 6.2 (Increased Socioeconomic Benefit - Narrow Focus) on Northern Fur Seals	4.2-44

4.2.3	Effects of the Alternatives on Harbor Seals	4.2-46
4.2.3.1	The Effects of Alternative 1 on Harbor Seals	4.2-46
4.2.3.2	The Effects of Alternatives 2.1 and 2.2 (Increased Protection for Marine Mammals and Seabirds) on Harbor Seals	4.2-48
4.2.3.3	The Effects of Alternative 3 (Increased Protection for Target Species) on Harbor Seals	4.2-49
4.2.3.4	The Effects of Alternative 4 (Increased Protection for Non-Target Species) on Harbor Seals	4.2-49
4.2.3.5	The Effects of Alternative 5 (Increased Protection for Habitat) on Harbor Seals	4.2-50
4.2.3.6	The Effects of Alternative 6.1 (Increased Long-Term Socioeconomic Benefits) on Harbor Seals	4.2-51
4.2.3.7	The Effects of Alternative 6.2 (Increased Socioeconomic Benefit - Narrow Focus) on Harbor Seals	4.2-51
4.2.4	Effects of the Alternatives on Other Pinnipeds	4.2-53
4.2.5	Effects of the Alternatives on Baleen Whales	4.2-55
4.2.6	Effects of the Alternatives on Toothed Whales	4.2-56
4.2.7	Effects of the Alternatives on Sea Otters	4.2-58
4.2.8	Summary	4.2-60
4.2.8.1	Consistency with the Marine Mammal Protection Policy Objective	4.2-60
4.2.8.2	Overall Effects of Alternative 1 on Marine Mammals	4.2-60
4.2.8.3	Contrasts Between Alternative 1 and the Other Alternatives	4.2-61
4.2.8.4	Placement of the Alternatives Along the Effects Continuum	4.2-65
4.3	Effects of the Alternatives on Seabirds	4.3-1
4.3.1	Information Gaps	4.3-1
4.3.2	Alternative 1 the Current Management Policy for Seabirds	4.3-3
4.3.2.1	Introduction	4.3-3
4.3.2.2	Agency Responsibilities	4.3-4
4.3.2.3	Description of Alternative 1	4.3-5
4.3.3	Direct and Indirect Effects of the Alternatives on Seabirds	4.3-12
4.3.3.1	Effects of Alternative 1 on Seabirds	4.3-15
4.3.3.2	Effects of Alternative 2 (Increased Protection for Marine Mammals and Seabirds) on Seabirds	4.3-32
4.3.3.3	Effects of Alternative 3 (Increased Protection of Target Species) on Seabirds	4.3-38
4.3.3.4	Effects of Alternative 4 (Increased Protection for Non-Target Species) on Seabirds	4.3-41
4.3.3.5	Effects of Alternative 5 (Increased Protection for Habitat) on Seabirds	4.3-43
4.3.3.6	Effects of Alternative 6 (Increased Socioeconomic Benefits) on Seabirds	4.3-45
4.3.4	Summary of the Effects of the Alternatives on Seabirds	4.3-48
4.4	Effects of the Alternatives on Target Groundfish Species	4.4-1

4.4.1	Pollock	4.4-1
4.4.2	Pacific Cod	4.4-21
4.4.3	Sablefish	4.4-53
4.4.4	Atka Mackerel	4.4-63
4.4.5	Rockfish	4.4-83
4.4.5.1	Pacific Ocean Perch	4.4-83
4.4.5.2	Northern Rockfish	4.4-102
4.4.5.3	Shortraker and Rougheye Rockfish	4.4-115
4.4.5.4	Other Slope Rockfish in the Gulf of Alaska	4.4-126
4.4.5.5	Pelagic Shelf Rockfish	4.4-132
4.4.5.6	Gulf of Alaska Demersal Shelf Rockfish	4.4-139
4.4.5.7	Other Rockfish	4.4-143
4.4.5.8	Thornyhead Rockfish	4.4-150
4.4.6	Flatfish	4.4-155
4.4.6.1	Eastern Bering Sea Yellowfin Sole	4.4-155
4.4.6.2	Eastern Bering Sea Rock Sole	4.4-163
4.4.6.3	Flathead Sole	4.4-171
4.4.6.4	Eastern Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska Arrowtooth Flounder	4.4-178
4.4.6.5	Greenland Turbot	4.4-189
4.4.6.6	Alaska Plaice and Other Flatfish	4.4-195
4.4.6.7	Gulf of Alaska Flatfish	4.4-203
4.4.7	Summary of the Effects of the Alternatives on Target Groundfish Species	4.4-208
4.4.7.1	Significance of the Impacts of Alternative 1	4.4-208
4.4.7.2	Comparison of Alternative 1 and the Other Alternatives	4.4-213
4.5	Effects of the Alternatives on Non-Target (Forage, Other, and Nonspecified) Species	4.5-1
4.5.1	Current Management by Fishery Management Plan Species Category	4.5-1
4.5.1.1	Non-target Species	4.5-1
4.5.1.2	Overview of Effects of Status Quo Management on Non-Target Species	4.5-2
4.5.2	Impacts of Alternatives on Case Study Species Groups Selected for Alternative 4	4.5-8
4.5.2.1	Skates	4.5-10
4.5.2.2	Grenadiers	4.5-21
4.5.2.3	Squids	4.5-26
4.5.3	Impacts of Alternatives on Other Non-Target Species	4.5-32
4.5.3.1	Predicted Catch of Forage Species Under Each Alternative	4.5-34
4.5.3.2	Predicted Catch of Other Species Under Each Alternative	4.5-36
4.5.3.3	Predicted Catch of Nonspecified Species Under Each Alternative	4.5-42
4.5.4	Summary of Alternative 4, Regime to Increase Protection to Non-Target Species	4.5-45
4.6	Effects of the Alternatives on Prohibited Species	4.6-1
4.6.1	Summary of Current Management	4.6-1

4.6.1.1	Species That Are Prohibited and How They Are Managed Under Groundfish Fishery Management Plans	4.6-1
4.6.1.2	Summary of Prohibited Species Catch Management Measures in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Groundfish Fishery Management Plan	4.6-5
4.6.1.3	Summary of Prohibited Species Catch Management Measures in the Gulf of Alaska Groundfish Fishery Management Plan	4.6-14
4.6.1.4	Summary of Status Quo Fishery Management Plan Management of Prohibited Species	4.6-19
4.6.2	Impacts of the Alternatives on Prohibited Species	4.6-20
4.6.2.1	Pacific Halibut	4.6-22
4.6.2.2	King and Tanner Crabs	4.6-44
4.6.2.3	Pacific Herring	4.6-55
4.6.2.4	Pacific Salmon	4.6-68
4.6.3	Summary of Effects of Alternatives on Prohibited Species	4.6-106
4.6.3.1	Summary of Impacts on Pacific Halibut	4.6-107
4.6.3.2	Summary of Impacts on King and Tanner Crabs	4.6-108
4.6.3.3	Summary of Impacts on Pacific Herring	4.6-109
4.6.3.4	Summary of Impacts on Pacific Salmon Species	4.6-111
4.6.3.5	Evaluation of the Significance of Status Quo Management on Prohibited Species	4.6-112
4.7	Effects of the Alternatives on Habitat, Including Essential Fish Habitat	4.7-1
4.7.1	Summary of the Current Regime with Regard to Habitat Management Policy	4.7-1
4.7.1.1	Fishing Equipment Restrictions	4.7-3
4.7.1.2	Prohibited Species	4.7-4
4.7.1.3	Time and Area Closures	4.7-6
4.7.1.4	Year-round Closure Areas	4.7-7
4.7.1.5	Summary	4.7-9
4.7.2	Impacts of the Alternatives on Essential Fish Habitat	4.7-10
4.7.2.1	Alternative 1	4.7-11
4.7.2.2	Impacts of Alternatives 2 through 6 on Essential Fish Habitat Relative to Alternative 1	4.7-19
4.7.2.3	Impacts of Alternatives 1 Through 6 on Nonbenthic Essential Fish Habitat	4.7-34
4.7.2.4	Summary	4.7-34
4.8	Economic and Social Effects of the Alternatives	4.8-1
4.8.1	Alternative 1	4.8-2
4.8.1.1	Alternative 1 Impacts on the Catcher Vessels Delivering to Inshore Processors	4.8-2
4.8.1.2	Alternative 1 Impacts on the Processing Sector	4.8-3
4.8.1.3	Alternative 1 Impacts on Consumers of Groundfish Products	4.8-5
4.8.1.4	Alternative 1 Impacts on Nonconsumptive and Nonuse Values	4.8-5
4.8.1.5	Alternative 1 Impacts on Prohibited Species Catch and Groundfish Discards	4.8-5
4.8.1.6	Alternative 1 Impacts on Fishing Vessel Safety	4.8-6

4.8.1.7	Alternative 1 Impacts on Excess Capacity	4.8-6
4.8.2	Impacts of Alternative 2 (Comparison Between Alternatives 1 and 2)	4.8-6
4.8.2.1	Alternative 2.1 Impacts on the Catcher Vessels Delivering to Inshore Processors	4.8-7
4.8.2.2	Alternative 2.1 Impacts on the Processing Sector	4.8-11
4.8.2.3	Alternative 2.1 Impacts on Consumers of Groundfish Products	4.8-15
4.8.2.4	Alternative 2.1 Impacts on Nonconsumptive and Nonuse Values	4.8-15
4.8.2.5	Alternative 2.1 Impacts on Prohibited Species Catch and Groundfish Discards	4.8-15
4.8.2.6	Alternative 2.1 Impacts on Fishing Vessel Safety	4.8-16
4.8.2.7	Alternative 2.1 Impacts on Excess Capacity	4.8-16
4.8.2.8	Alternative 2.2 Impacts on the Catcher Vessels Delivering to Inshore Processors	4.8-16
4.8.2.9	Alternative 2.2 Impacts on the Processing Sector	4.8-19
4.8.2.10	Alternative 2.2 Impacts on Consumers of Groundfish Products	4.8-21
4.8.2.11	Alternative 2.2 Impacts on Nonconsumptive and Nonuse Values	4.8-21
4.8.2.12	Alternative 2.2 Impacts on Prohibited Species Catch and Groundfish Discards	4.8-21
4.8.2.13	Alternative 2.2 Impacts on Fishing Vessel Safety	4.8-22
4.8.2.14	Alternative 2.2 Impacts on Excess Capacity	4.8-22
4.8.3	Impacts of Alternative 3 (Comparison Between Alternatives 1 and 3)	4.8-22
4.8.3.1	Alternative 3 Impacts on the Catcher Vessels Delivering to Inshore Processors	4.8-22
4.8.3.2	Alternative 3 Impacts on the Processing Sector	4.8-25
4.8.3.3	Alternative 3 Impacts on Consumers of Groundfish Products	4.8-27
4.8.3.4	Alternative 3 Impacts on Nonconsumptive and Nonuse Values	4.8-27
4.8.3.5	Alternative 3 Impacts on Prohibited Species Catch and Groundfish Discards	4.8-28
4.8.3.6	Alternative 3 Impacts on Fishing Vessel Safety	4.8-28
4.8.3.7	Alternative 3 Impacts on Excess Capacity	4.8-29
4.8.3.8	Further Consideration of Mesh Size Regulations	4.8-29
4.8.4	Impacts of Alternative 4 (Comparison Between Alternatives 1 and 4)	4.8-30
4.8.4.1	Alternative 4.1 Impacts on Catcher Vessels Delivering to Inshore Processors	4.8-30
4.8.4.2	Alternative 4.1 Impacts on Processing Sector	4.8-31
4.8.4.3	Alternative 4.1 Impacts on Consumers of Groundfish Products	4.8-32
4.8.4.4	Alternative 4.1 Impacts on Nonconsumptive and Nonuse Values	4.8-33
4.8.4.5	Alternative 4.1 Impacts on Prohibited Species Catch and Groundfish Discards	4.8-33
4.8.4.6	Alternative 4.1 Impacts on Fishing Vessel Safety	4.8-33

4.8.4.7	Alternative 4.1 Impacts on Excess Capacity	4.8-33
4.8.4.8	Alternative 4.2 Impacts on Catcher Vessel Delivering to Inshore Processors	4.8-33
4.8.4.9	Alternative 4.2 Impacts on Processing Sector	4.8-35
4.8.4.10	Alternative 4.2 impacts on Consumers of Groundfish Products	4.8-36
4.8.4.11	Alternative 4.2 Impacts on Nonconsumptive and Nonuse Values	4.8-36
4.8.4.12	Alternative 4.2 Impacts on Prohibited Species Catch and Groundfish Discards	4.8-36
4.8.4.13	Alternative 4.2 Impacts on Fishing Vessel Safety	4.8-36
4.8.4.14	Alternative 4.2 Impacts on Excess Capacity	4.8-36
4.8.4.15	Further Consideration of Squid Area Closure Regulations	4.8-37
4.8.5	Impacts of Alternative 5 (Comparison Between Alternatives 1 and 5)	4.8-37
4.8.5.1	Alternative 5 Impacts on the Catcher Vessels Delivering to Inshore Processors	4.8-37
4.8.5.2	Alternative 5 Impacts on the Processing Sector	4.8-40
4.8.5.3	Alternative 5 Impacts on Consumers of Groundfish Products	4.8-42
4.8.5.4	Alternative 5 Impacts on Nonconsumptive and Nonuse Values	4.8-43
4.8.5.5	Alternative 5 Impacts on Prohibited Species Catch and Groundfish Discards	4.8-43
4.8.5.6	Alternative 5 Impacts on Fishing Vessel Safety	4.8-44
4.8.5.7	Alternative 5 Impacts on Excess Capacity	4.8-44
4.8.5.8	Further Consideration of Changing Gear Allocations	4.8-44
4.8.6	Impacts of Alternative 6 (Comparison Between Alternatives 1 and 6)	4.8-44
4.8.6.1	Objectives of Alternative 6.1	4.8-45
4.8.6.2	Alternative 6.1 General Impacts on Economic Performance	4.8-45
4.8.6.3	Alternative 6.1 Impacts on Vessel Owners Including Owners of Catcher/Processors	4.8-47
4.8.6.4	Alternative 6.1 Impacts on Owners of Processing Plants Other than Catcher/Processors	4.8-48
4.8.6.5	Alternative 6.1 Impacts on Vessel and Processing Crew and Other Employees	4.8-49
4.8.6.6	Alternative 6.1 Impacts on Communities Including Businesses and Employees in Support Sectors	4.8-49
4.8.6.7	Alternative 6.1 Impacts on the Public	4.8-50
4.8.6.8	Alternative 6.1 Impacts on Fishing Vessel Safety	4.8-50
4.8.6.9	Alternative 6.1 Impacts on Harvest and Processing Capacity and Capital	4.8-51
4.8.6.10	Alternative 6.1 Impacts on the Competitiveness of Markets	4.8-51
4.8.6.11	Alternative 6.1 Impacts on Prohibited Species Catch and Groundfish Discards	4.8-52

4.8.6.12	Timing and Breadth Of Implementation	4.8-52
4.8.6.13	Allocation of Catch Rights Under Alternative 6.1	4.8-53
4.8.6.14	Multispecies Complications with Alternative 6.1	4.8-54
4.8.6.15	Other Elements of Alternative 6.1	4.8-54
4.8.6.16	Potential Synergies Between Alternative 6.1 and the Goals of Other Alternatives	4.8-57
4.8.6.17	Alternative 6.2 Impacts on the Catcher Vessels Delivering to Inshore Processors	4.8-58
4.8.6.18	Alternative 6.2 Impacts on the Processing Sector	4.8-60
4.8.6.19	Alternative 6.2 Impacts on Consumers of Groundfish Products	4.8-61
4.8.6.20	Alternative 6.2 Impacts on Nonconsumptive and Nonuse Values	4.8-61
4.8.6.21	Alternative 6.2 Impacts on Prohibited Species Catch and Groundfish Discards	4.8-62
4.8.6.22	Alternative 6.2 Impacts on Fishing Vessel Safety	4.8-62
4.8.6.23	Alternative 6.2 Impacts on Excess Capacity	4.8-62
4.8.7	Impacts of the Alternatives on the Human Environment	4.8-62
4.8.7.1	Impacts of Alternative 1 on Industry Sectors and on Communities and Regions	4.8-64
4.8.7.2	Impacts of Alternative 2 on Industry Sectors and on Communities and Regions	4.8-69
4.8.7.3	Impacts of Alternative 3 on Industry Sectors and on Communities and Regions	4.8-77
4.8.7.4	Impacts of Alternative 4 on Industry Sectors and on Communities and Regions	4.8-80
4.8.7.5	Impacts of Alternative 5 on Industry Sectors and on Communities and Regions	4.8-86
4.8.7.6	Impacts of Alternative 6 on Industry Sectors and on Communities and Regions	4.8-90
4.8.8	Effects of the Proposed Alternatives on Subsistence Use of Marine Resources	4.8-97
4.8.8.1	Potential Salmon Bycatch Effects	4.8-98
4.8.8.2	Potential Steller Sea Lion Subsistence Use Effects	4.8-99
4.8.9	Summary of Economic and Social Effects of the Alternatives	4.8-103
4.8.9.1	Summary of Social and Economic Impacts of Alternative 1	4.8-103
4.8.9.2	Summary of Social and Economic Impacts of Alternatives 2.1 Through 6.2	4.8-105
4.9	Effects of the Alternatives on the Ecosystem	4.9-1
4.9.1	Principles and Policies of Ecosystem-Based Management	4.9-1
4.9.1.1	Evaluation of Alternative 1 Relative to Ecosystem-Based Management Standards	4.9-3
4.9.2	Ecosystem Impacts of the Alternatives	4.9-17
4.9.2.1	Effects on Predator-Prey Relationships, Including Introduction of Nonindigenous Species	4.9-19
4.9.2.2	Effects on Energy Flow and Balance, Including Fish Removals and Fish Processing Waste	4.9-30
4.9.2.3	Effects on Biological Diversity	4.9-35
4.9.3	Summary of Effects	4.9-38

	4.9.3.1	Ecosystem-level Ecological Impacts	4.9-38
	4.9.3.2	Ecosystem-Based Management Objectives	4.9-41
4.10		Effects of the Alternatives on Management and Enforcement	4.10-1
	4.10.1	Alternative 1	4.10-1
	4.10.2	Basis for Comparing the Effects of the Alternative	4.10-2
	4.10.3	Comparing Alternatives to the Status Quo	4.10-4
	4.10.3.1	Alternative 2	4.10-4
	4.10.3.2	Alternative 3	4.10-6
	4.10.3.3	Alternative 4	4.10-6
	4.10.3.4	Alternative 5	4.10-6
	4.10.3.5	Alternative 6	4.10-7
4.11		Other Environmental Consequences Associated with the Groundfish Fishery	4.11-1
	4.11.1	Marine Debris	4.11-1
	4.11.2	Fish Processing Waste	4.11-3
	4.11.3	Accidents at Sea	4.11-4
	4.11.4	Nonindigenous Species	4.11-4
	4.11.5	Fishing Industry Logistical Support Facilities	4.11-5
	4.11.6	Environmental Justice	4.11-5
4.12		Energy Requirements and Conservation Potential of Various Alternative Regimes	4.12-1
4.13		Cumulative Effects	4.13-1
	4.13.1	Objectives and Approach	4.13-1
	4.13.1.1	Objectives	4.13-1
	4.13.1.2	Approach	4.13-2
	4.13.1.3	Summary of Cumulative Effects Assessment Procedure	4.13-10
	4.13.2	Historical Review of Effects Resulting From Incremental Decision-making	4.13-11
	4.13.2.1	Historical Review Methodology	4.13-11
	4.13.2.2	Historical Review Summary	4.13-13
	4.13.3	External Effects	4.13-16
	4.13.3.1	Human Controlled Events	4.13-17
	4.13.3.2	Natural Events	4.13-18
	4.13.4	Cumulative Effects Analyses Summaries	4.13-21
	4.13.4.1	Marine Mammals	4.13-21
	4.13.4.2	Seabirds	4.13-28
	4.13.4.3	Target Groundfish Species	4.13-33
	4.13.4.4	Non-Target Species	4.13-51
	4.13.4.5	Prohibited Species	4.13-53
	4.13.4.6	Essential Fish Habitat	4.13-56
	4.13.4.7	Socioeconomics	4.13-63
	4.13.4.8	Ecosystem	4.13-73
4.14		Summary of Environmental Consequences	4.14-1
	4.14.1	Introduction	4.14-1
	4.14.2	Analytical Approach to Evaluating Alternatives	4.14-1
	4.14.3	Summary of Environmental Consequences	4.14-2
	4.14.4	Comparison of Effects of Management Alternatives Compared to Status Quo	4.14-3

TABLES

Table 4.1-1	Levels of Concern for Potential Negative Competitive Interactions Between a Target Fishery and the Western and Eastern Stocks of Steller Sea Lions in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska Fishery Management Areas
Table 4.1-2	Tools Ranked for Current and Potential Use for Increasing Protection to Marine Mammals and Seabirds
Table 4.1-3	Management Actions for Steller Sea Lion Protection in “Low and Slow” Alternative 2.1
Table 4.1-4	Specific Management Actions for Seabird Protection for Both the “Low and Slow” (Alternative 2.1) and the “Short Burst” (Alternative 2.2)
Table 4.1-5	Management Actions for Steller Sea Lion Protection in “Short Burst” Alternative 2.2
Table 4.1-6	Estimated Biomass Distributions for Each Species, Management Area, and Season
Table 4.1-7	Daily Catch Rates for Pollock, Pacific Cod, and Atka Mackerel Under Alternative 2.1
Table 4.1-8	Example Total Allowable Catch Distribution (Using 2000 Total Allowable Catches for all but Aleutian Islands Pollock, which was set to 25,000 Metric Tons), Prescribed Daily Catch Rates, and Number of Days of Fishing Resulting under Alternative 2.1
Table 4.1-9	Determination of Total Allowable Catches for Pollock, Pacific Cod, and Atka Mackerel in the Eastern Bering Sea, Gulf of Alaska, and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands for Alternative 2.2 (in Metric Tons)
Table 4.1-10	Time Line of Management Measures to Protect Target Species in the Groundfish Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska, 1978–2000
Table 4.1-11	Management Tools Ranked for Current and Potential Use for Increasing Protection to Target Species
Table 4.1-12	Listing of Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska Target Species or Species Complexes and Their Groundfish Tier Designations Under Amendments 56/56
Table 4.1-13	Ratings of Current and Potential Use of Management Tools for Non-Target Species
Table 4.1-14	Skate Species Identified During Recent and Previous Alaska Fisheries Science Center Bottom Trawl Surveys
Table 4.1-15	Estimated Catch (Metric Tons) of All Skate Species Combined by Gear and Target Fishery
Table 4.1-16	Estimated Aggregate Biomass (Metric Tons) of Skate Species Complex from Bottom Trawl Surveys
Table 4.1-17	Estimated Biomass (Metric Tons) of Common Skate Species from Recent Bottom Trawl Surveys
Table 4.1-18	Life History Information Available for BSAI and GOA Skate Species

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.1-19	Total Allowable Catches (Metric Tons) Set Under Alternatives 4.1 and 4.2 for the Skate Complex by Area
Table 4.1-20	Estimated Catch (Metric Tons) of All Grenadier Species Combined by Gear and Target Fishery
Table 4.1-21	Estimated Aggregate Biomass (Metric Tons) of Grenadier Species Complex from Trawl Surveys
Table 4.1-22	Estimated Biomass (Metric Tons) for Common Grenadier Species in the Gulf of Alaska, 1999
Table 4.1-23	Observed Gulf of Alaska Fishery Catch (Metric Tons) of Grenadiers by Average Depth and Gear Type, 1999
Table 4.1-24	Life History Information Available for Common Gulf of Alaska Grenadier Species
Table 4.1-25	Total Allowable Catches (Metric Tons) Set for Grenadier Complex by Area
Table 4.1-26	Estimated Catch (Metric Tons) of All Squid Species Combined by Gear and Target Fishery
Table 4.1-27	Estimated Proportion of Pelagic Pollock Fishery Catch Duplicated as a Result of Closed Areas for Squid
Table 4.1-28	Tools Ranked for Current and Potential Use for Increasing Protection to Habitat
Table 4.1-29	Product Mix as Percentage of Total Production for Pollock Processors by Sector, Season, and Year
Table 4.1-30	BSAI Maximum Allowable Catch by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average (1,000 Metric Tons)
Table 4.1-31	Gulf of Alaska Maximum Allowable Catch by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average (1,000 Metric Tons)
Table 4.1-32	Percent Change in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Maximum Allowable Catch Relative to Alternative 1, by Alternative and Species (Based on 2001–2005 Average)
Table 4.1-33	Percent Change in Gulf of Alaska Maximum Allowable Catch Relative to Alternative 1, by Alternative and Species (Based on 2001–2005 Average)
Table 4.1-34	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Projected Catch by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average (1,000 Metric Tons)
Table 4.1-35	Gulf of Alaska Projected Catch by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average (1,000 Metric Tons)
Table 4.1-36	Percent Change in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Catch Relative to 1997–1999 Average by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.1-37	Percent Change in Gulf of Alaska Catch Relative to 1997–1999 Average by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.1-38	Percent Change in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Catch Relative to Alternative 1 by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.1-39	Percent Change in Gulf of Alaska Catch Relative to Alternative 1, by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.1-40	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Projected Catch as a Percentage of Maximum Allowable Catch by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.1-41	Gulf of Alaska Projected Catch as a Percentage of Maximum Allowable Catch by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.2-1	Criteria for Intensity of Effects Scores
Table 4.2-2	Changes to the Atka Mackerel Fishery Begun in 1999 to Reduce Competitive Interactions with Steller Sea Lions
Table 4.2-3	Changes to the Pollock Fisheries in the Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands, and Gulf of Alaska Begun in 1999 to Reduce Competitive Interactions with Steller Sea Lions
Table 4.2-4	Estimated Pollock Catches and Percent Caught in the Steller Sea Lion Conservation Area in the Eastern Bering Sea
Table 4.2-5	Estimated Pollock Catches (mt) and Percent Caught in Steller Sea Lion Critical Habitat in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.2-6	Change in Annual Catch (by Metric Tons) and Percent Change in Annual Catch of Important Steller Sea Lion Prey under Each Alternative Compared to Alternative 1
Table 4.2-7	Difference in Seasonal and Areal Catch Proportions and Number of Days of Fished (Pollock, Pacific Cod, and Atka Mackerel Fisheries) under Alternative 2.1 and Compared to Alternative 1
Table 4.2-8	Catches under Alternative 2.2 for Pollock, Pacific Cod, and Atka Mackerel in the Eastern Bering Sea, Gulf of Alaska, and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, Their Seasonal Distribution (25 Percent to Each), and the Reduction in Catch Relative to Alternative 1
Table 4.2-9	Expected Catch Distribution (Metric Tons and Percent by Season and Area) of the Fisheries Under Alternative 1 if Distributed in the Time and Area Strata Proposed Under Alternative 2.1
Table 4.2-10	Expected Seasonal Catch Distribution, Number of Days Fished and Daily Catch Rate of Fisheries Under Alternative 2.1 Relative to Alternative 1
Table 4.2-11	Changes in Seasonal Catch Distribution and the Number of Days Fished by Season Between Alternative 2.2 and Alternative 1

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.2-12	Changes in Expected Catch (Metric Tons) from Areas Closed under Alternatives 2.1 and 2.2, Relative to Alternative 1
Table 4.2-13	Summary of Effects of Alternative 1 on Marine Mammals
Table 4.2-14	Summary of Scores for Each Programmatic Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement Alternative Reflecting the Levels of Protection They Provide for Marine Mammals
Table 4.3-1	Chronology of Management Measures to Protect Seabirds Under the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Groundfish Fisheries Management Plans and Other Regulatory Actions
Table 4.3-2	Bird Species or Species Groups Impacted by Direct Effects (i.e., Incidentally Taken) in the BSAI and GOA Groundfish Fisheries, 1993–1999, by Gear Type
Table 4.3-3	Seabird Species Most Likely to be Impacted by Potential Indirect Effects of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska Groundfish Fisheries
Table 4.3-4	Direct and Indirect Effects of Alternative 1 on Seabirds
Table 4.3-5	Scoring System for Relating Effects of the Alternatives on Seabirds
Table 4.3-6	Various Sources of Seabird Mortality in the BSAI and GOA
Table 4.3-7	Summary of Effects of the Alternatives on Seabirds, Relative to Alternative 1
Table 4.4-1	Estimate of Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, for Eastern Bering Sea Pollock, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-2	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Average Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Eastern Bering Sea Pollock, by Alternative
Table 4.4-3	Estimated Average Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Gulf of Alaska Pollock, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-4	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Average Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Gulf of Alaska Pollock, by Alternative
Table 4.4-5	Highest and Lowest Values for Average Total Biomass, Spawning Biomass, Catch, and Age for Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-6	Parameters Used in Model Runs
Table 4.4-7	Key Parameters Used to Model the Impacts of Alternative 1 on Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-8	Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pacific Cod, 2001 to 2005, by Alternatives

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.4-9	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pacific Cod, by Alternative
Table 4.4-10	Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Gulf of Alaska Pacific Cod, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-11	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Gulf of Alaska Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-12	Key Parameters Used to Model the Impacts of Alternative 2.1 on Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-13	Key Parameters Used to Model the Impacts of Alternative 2.2 on Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-14	Key Parameters Used to Model the Impacts of Alternative 2.2 on Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-15	Key Parameters Used to Model the Impacts of Alternative 4.1 on Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-16	Key Parameters Used to Model the Impacts of Alternative 4.2 on Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-17	Key Parameters Used to Model Impacts of Alternative 5 on Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-18	Key Parameters Used to Model the Impacts of Alternative 6.1 on Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-19	Key Parameters Used to Model the Impacts of Alternative 6.1 on Pacific Cod
Table 4.4-20	Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Sablefish, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-21	Lower and Upper Bounds of Average Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Sablefish, by Alternative
Table 4.4-22	Proportion of Sablefish Catch that Occurred Within Closed Areas, 1999
Table 4.4-23	Estimated Acceptable Biological Catch and Catch for Gulf of Alaska Atka Mackerel, 2001–2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-24	Estimated Average Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Atka Mackerel, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-25	Lower and Upper Confidence Bounds of Estimated Average Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Atka Mackerel, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-26	TAC Specifications for Skates and Grenadiers by Area, in Metric Tons
Table 4.4-27	Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Eastern Bering Sea Pacific Ocean Perch, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.4-28	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Average Catch, Spawning Biomass and Total Biomass for Eastern Bering Sea Pacific Ocean Perch, by Alternative
Table 4.4-29	Estimated Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Aleutian Islands Pacific Ocean Perch, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-30	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Average Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Aleutian Islands Pacific Ocean Perch, by Alternative
Table 4.4-31	Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean Perch—Five Year Population Model Projections of Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Average Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, by Alternative
Table 4.4-32	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Average Catch, Average Spawning Biomass, and Average Total Biomass for Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean Perch
Table 4.4-33	Eastern Bering Sea Pacific Ocean Perch Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-34	Aleutian Islands Pacific Ocean Perch Five-Year Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch in Metric Tons by Alternative, 2001–2005
Table 4.4-35	Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean Perch Five-Year Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001–2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-36	Eastern Bering Sea Other Red Rockfish Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-37	Aleutian Islands Sharpchin/Northern Rockfish Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-38	Gulf of Alaska Northern Rockfish Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-39	Eastern Bering Sea Other Red Rockfish Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-40	Aleutian Islands Shortraker/Rougheye Rockfish (Trawl) Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-41	Aleutian Islands Shortraker/Rougheye Rockfish (Hook-and-Line) Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-42	Gulf of Alaska Shortraker/Rougheye Rockfish Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-43	Total Allowable Catch Specifications for Skates and Grenadiers, in Metric Tons, by Area

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.4-44	Gulf of Alaska Other Slope Rockfish–Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-45	Gulf of Alaska Pelagic Shelf Rockfish Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001–2005, in Metric Tons by Alternative
Table 4.4-46	Projections of Acceptable Biological Catch and Catch for Gulf of Alaska Demersal Shelf Rockfish, 2001–2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-47	Eastern Bering Sea Other Rockfish Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-48	Aleutian Islands Other Rockfish–Five Year Bycatch Model Projections of Average Catch, 2001–2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-49	Total Allowable Catch Specifications for Skates and Grenadiers by Area, in Metric Tons
Table 4.4-50	Model Projections of Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Average Spawning Biomass, and Average Fishing Mortality for Thornyhead Rockfish, 2001 to 2005, in Metric Tons, by Alternative
Table 4.4-51	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Average Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Gulf of Alaska Thornyhead Rockfish
Table 4.4-52	Bering Sea Yellowfin Sole Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-53	Upper and Lower Bounds for Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, Bering Sea Yellowfin Sole, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-54	Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC), Average Spawning Biomass and Total Biomass, for Bering Sea Rock Sole, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-55	Lower and Upper Bounds Estimated Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Bering Sea Rock Sole, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-56	Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Flathead Sole, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-57	Lower and Upper Estimated Average Catch, Average Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Flathead Sole, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-58	Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Arrowtooth Flounder, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-59	Estimated Acceptable Biological Catch, Average Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass for Gulf of Alaska Arrowtooth Flounder, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative

TABLES

(continued)

Table 4.4-60	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Arrowtooth Flounder, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-61	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, for Gulf of Alaska Arrowtooth Flounder, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-62	Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Greenland Turbot, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-63	Upper and Lower Bounds of Estimated Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Greenland Turbot, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-64	Estimated Average Catch, Acceptable Biological Catch, Spawning Biomass, and Total Biomass, for Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands Alaska Plaice, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-65	Lower and Upper Bounds of Estimated Average Catch, Average Spawning Biomass, and Average Total Biomass for Alaska Plaice, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.4-66	Projected Catch of Other Flatfish Species, Excluding Alaska Plaice, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative, in Metric Tons
Table 4.4-67	Projected Catch of Gulf of Alaska Rex Sole, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative, in Metric Tons
Table 4.4-68	Projected Catch of Gulf of Alaska Deep Water Flatfish, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative, in Metric Tons
Table 4.4-69	Projected Catch of Gulf of Alaska Shallow Water Flatfish, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative, in Metric Tons
Table 4.4-70	Projected Catch of Gulf of Alaska Flathead Sole, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative, in Metric Tons
Table 4.4-71	Significance Evaluations of Effects
Table 4.4-72	Significance of Status Quo on Four Effects on Target Groundfish Species
Table 4.4-73	Comparison of Status Quo and Other Alternatives
Table 4.4-74	Ranking Scores, Relative to Alternative 1 (Status Quo) for Each Alternative over Four Effects for Target Groundfish Species
Table 4.5-1	Estimated Total Catch (Metric Tons) by Fishery Management Plan Category, 1997 to 1999 Average for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-2	Estimated Total Catch (Metric Tons) by Fishery Management Plan Category, 1997 to 1999 Average for Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-3	Estimated Catches (Metric Tons) of Non-Target Species Groups, 1997 to 1999, with Average

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.5-4	Effects of Alternatives on Skate Catch (Metric Tons) for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-5	Effects of Alternatives on Skate Catch (Metric Tons) for Gulf of Alaska.
Table 4.5-6	Effects of Alternatives on Grenadier Catch (Metric Tons) for Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-7	Effects of Alternatives on Grenadier Catch (Metric Tons) for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-8	Effects of Alternatives on Squid Catch (Metric Tons) for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-9	Effects of Alternatives on Squid Catch (Metric Tons) for Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-10	Potential Reduction in Squid Catch If Specified Areas Were Closed to Pollock Fishing
Table 4.5-11	Effects of Alternatives on 5-Year Average (2001–2005) Predicted Catch for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands by Category
Table 4.5-12	Effects of Alternatives on 5-Year Average Predicted Catch for Gulf of Alaska by Category (2001–2005)
Table 4.5-13	Effects of Alternatives on Smelt Catch (Metric Tons) in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-14	Effects of Alternatives on Smelt Catch (Metric Tons) in Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-15	Effects of Alternatives on Other Pelagic Forage Fishes (Metric Tons) in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-16	Effects of Alternatives on Other Pelagic Forage Fishes (Metric Tons) in Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-17	Effects of Alternatives on Sleeper Shark Catch (Metric Tons) in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-18	Effects of Alternatives on Sleeper Shark Catch (Metric Tons) in Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-19	Effects of Alternatives on Salmon Shark Catch (Metric Tons) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-20	Effects of Alternatives on Salmon Shark Catch (Metric Tons) in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-21	Effects of Alternatives on Spiny Dogfish Catch (Metric Tons) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-22	Effects of Alternatives on Spiny Dogfish Catch (Metric Tons) in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-23	Effects of Alternatives on Unidentified Shark Catch (Metric Tons) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-24	Effects of Alternatives on Unidentified Shark Catch (Metric Tons) in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-25	Effects of Alternatives on Sculpin Catch (Metric Tons) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.5-26	Effects of Alternatives on Sculpin Catch (Metric Tons) in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-27	Effects of Alternatives on Octopus Catch (Metric Tons) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-28	Effects of Alternatives on Octopus Catch (Metric Tons) in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-29	Effects of Alternatives on Other Fish Catch (Metric Tons) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-30	Effects of Alternatives on Other Fish Catch (Metric Tons) in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-31	Effects of Alternatives on Jellyfish Catch (Metric Tons) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-32	Effects of Alternatives on Jellyfish Catch (Metric Tons) in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-33	Effects of Alternatives on Attached Benthos Catch (Metric Tons) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-34	Effects of Alternatives on Attached Benthos Catch (Metric Tons) in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-35	Effects of Alternatives on Mobile Benthos Catch (Metric Tons) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.5-36	Effects of Alternatives on Mobile Benthos Catch (Metric Tons) in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.5-37	Impacts of Non-Target Species Catch by Alternative Relative to Alternative 1 for Each Species Group
Table 4.6-1	Chronology of Management Measures to Control Bycatch of Prohibited Species in the Groundfish Fisheries of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) and Gulf of Alaska, 1935–2000
Table 4.6-2	Estimated Bycatch of Pacific Halibut (Metric Tons of Mortality), King Crab, Tanner Crab, Pacific Herring, Chinook Salmon, and Other Salmon Taken in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Groundfish Fisheries, 1977–1999 ^a
Table 4.6-3	Prohibited Species Catch Apportionments for the 2000 Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Fisheries
Table 4.6-4	Prohibited Species Catch Apportionments for the 2000 Gulf of Alaska Fisheries
Table 4.6-5	Criteria for Rating Alternatives Relative to Alternative 1 for Each Species
Table 4.6-6	Halibut Fishing Mortality From All Sources in All IPHC Areas Combined, Round Weight in Metric Tons
Table 4.6-7	Bering Sea Aleutian Islands Pacific Halibut Bycatch, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative, in Metric Tons
Table 4.6-8	GOA Pacific Halibut Bycatch, 2001–2005, by Alternative, in Metric Tons

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.6-9	Abundance Estimates from the 1999 NMFS Trawl Survey for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Crab Species
Table 4.6-10	Estimated Numbers of Red King Crab Bycatch for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.6-11	Estimated Numbers of Bairdi Tanner Crab Bycatch for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.6-12	Estimated Numbers of Other Tanner Crab (Mostly Opilio) Bycatch for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.6-13	Estimated Numbers of Other King Crab Bycatch for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.6-14	Estimated Numbers of Red King Crab Bycatch for the Gulf of Alaska, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.6-15	Estimated Numbers of Bairdi Tanner Crab Bycatch for the Gulf of Alaska, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.6-16	Estimated Amounts of Opilio and Other Tanner Crab Bycatch for the Gulf of Alaska, 2001 to 2005, by Alternatives
Table 4.6-17	Estimated Amounts of Other King Crab Bycatch for the Gulf of Alaska, 2001 to 2005, by Alternatives
Table 4.6-18	Forecast Pacific Herring Run Biomass (Metric Tons) by Management Area for 2000
Table 4.6-19	Estimated Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pacific Herring Catch, in Metric Tons, and Percent Change, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.6-20	Estimated Gulf of Alaska Pacific Herring Catch, in Metric Tons, and Percent Change, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.6-21	Total Groundfish Catch and Estimated Bycatch of Chinook and Other Pacific Salmon in U.S. Groundfish Fisheries, 1990 to 1999 ^a
Table 4.6-22	Estimated Catch in Directed Salmon Fisheries by Alaska Region, 1997 to 1999
Table 4.6-23	Estimated Stock Origin of Chinook and Chum Salmon Catch in the BSAI
Table 4.6-24	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Chinook Salmon Bycatch ^a , in Numbers of Fish, and Percent Change, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative
Table 4.6-25	Estimated Gulf of Alaska Chinook Salmon Bycatch, in Numbers of Fish, and Percent Change, 2001 to 2005, by Alternative

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.6-26	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Other Salmon Species Bycatch, in Numbers of Fish, by Alternative
Table 4.6-27	Gulf of Alaska Other Salmon Species Bycatch, in Numbers of Fish, by Alternative
Table 4.6-28	Evaluation of Impacts to Prohibited Species under Alternative 1
Table 4.6-29	Criteria for Rating Alternatives Relative to Alternative 1 for Each Species
Table 4.6-30	Summary of the Effects on Prohibited Species of Each Alternative Relative Alternative 1
Table 4.7-1	Chronology of Management Measures to Protect Habitat under the Bering Sea and Aleutian Island, and Gulf of Alaska Groundfish Fishery Management Plans
Table 4.7-2	Time-Series of Groundfish Trawl Closure Areas in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska, 1995–1999
Table 4.7-3	Rating of Impacts to Essential Fish Habitat Under Alternative 1
Table 4.7-4	Average Bycatch and Bycatch Rates of Habitat Areas of Particular Concern Biota, in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, 1997–1999
Table 4.7-5	Average Bycatch and Bycatch Rates of Habitat Areas of Particular Concern Biota, in the Gulf of Alaska, 1997–1999
Table 4.7-6	Projected Catch by Gear of Habitat Areas of Particular Concern Biota, for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, in Metric Tons, 2001–2005
Table 4.7-7	Projected Catch by Gear of Habitat Areas of Particular Concern Biota, for the Gulf of Alaska, 2001–2005, in Metric Tons
Table 4.7-8	Comparison of Area of Benthic Habitat Protection from Bottom Trawling, by Alternative
Table 4.7-9	Projected Total Effort ^a for Fishing Gear that Contacts the Seafloor in Target Fisheries, by Alternative in 2001
Table 4.7-10	Projected effort of Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Target Fisheries, by Alternatives, in 2001
Table 4.7-11	Projected effort for Gulf of Alaska Target Fisheries, by Alternative, in 2001
Table 4.7-12	Scoring System for Ranking the Effects of the Alternatives on Benthic Essential Fish Habitat
Table 4.7-13	Recent Change Between Alternative 1 and Alternatives 2–6 in Projected Catch of Habitat Areas of Particular Concern Biota by Gear and Region
Table 4.7-14	Scores for Each Alternative Reflecting Levels of Protection for Benthic Essential Fish Habitat Relative To Alternative 1

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.8-1	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-2	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-3	Gulf of Alaska Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-4	Gulf of Alaska Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-5	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-6	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-7	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Hook-and-Line Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-8	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Hook-and-Line Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-9	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pot Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-10	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pot Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-11	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Trawl Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-12	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Trawl Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-13	Gulf of Alaska Hook-and-Line Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-14	Gulf of Alaska Hook-and-Line Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-15	Gulf of Alaska Pot Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.8-16	Gulf of Alaska Pot Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-17	Gulf of Alaska Trawl Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-18	Gulf of Alaska Trawl Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-19	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Hook-and-Line Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-20	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Hook-and-Line Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-21	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pot Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-22	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Pot Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-23	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Trawl Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-24	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Trawl Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-25	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Groundfish Exvessel Value by Inshore Processor Group, Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-26	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Percent Change in Groundfish Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Inshore Processor Group, Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-27	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Total Product Value by Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-28	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Percent Change In Total Product Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-29	Gulf of Alaska Total Product Value by Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-30	Gulf of Alaska Percent Change In Total Product Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.8-31	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Total Product Value by Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-32	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Percent Change In Total Product Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Processor Group and Alternative, Based on 2001–2005 Average
Table 4.8-33	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Total Exvessel Value by Year and Alternative in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-34	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Island Percentage in Change in Annual Total Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1 by Year and Alternative
Table 4.8-35	Gulf of Alaska Total Exvessel Value by Year and Alternative in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-36	Gulf of Alaska Percent Change in Annual Total Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Year and Alternative
Table 4.8-37	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Total Exvessel Value by Year and Alternative in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-38	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Percent Change in Annual Total Exvessel Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Year and Alternative
Table 4.8-39	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Total Product Value by Year and Alternative in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-40	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Percent Change in Annual total Product Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Year and Alternative
Table 4.8-41	Gulf of Alaska Total Product Value by Year and Alternative in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-42	Gulf of Alaska Percent Change in Annual Total Product Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Year and Alternative
Table 4.8-43	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Total Product Value by Year and Alternative in Millions of Dollars
Table 4.8-44	Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Percent Change in Annual Total Product Value Relative to Alternative 1, by Year and Alternative
Table 4.8-45	Percent of Groundfish Catch on Observed Vessels off Alaska That Would Have Been Displaced By Alternative 2.1 by Area (Only East of 170°W for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands), Target and Vessel Class, 1997–1999
Table 4.8-46	Percent of Groundfish catch on observed Vessels Off Alaska That Would Have Been Displaced by Alternative 2.1 by area (only west of 170°W for the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands), Target and Vessel Class, 1997–1999

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.8-47	Percent of Groundfish Catch on Observed Vessels Off Alaska That Would Have Been Displaced By Alternative 3 by Area, Target, and Vessel Class, 1997–1999
Table 4.8-48	Percent of Groundfish Catch on Observed Vessels Off Alaska That Would Have Been Displaced By Alternative 4 By Area, Target and Vessel Class, 1997–1999
Table 4.8-49	Percent of Groundfish Catch on Observed Vessels off Alaska That Would Have Been Displaced by Alternative 5 by Area, Target and Vessel Class, 1997–99
Table 4.8-50	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Total Discards by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Metric Tons
Table 4.8-51	Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Total Discards Percent Change Relative to Alternative 1, by Alternative and Species Based on 2001–2005 Average, in Metric Tons
Table 4.8-52	Summary of Impacts of Alternatives on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-53	Impacts of Alternative 1 on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-54	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 1 on Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-55	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 1 on Catcher/processors
Table 4.8-56	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 1 on Inshore Plants and Motherships
Table 4.8-57	Impacts of Alternative 1 on Regional Processing
Table 4.8-58	Impacts of Alternative 1 on Regional Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-59	Impacts of Alternative 2.1 on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-60	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 2.1 on Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-61	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 2.1 on Catcher/processors
Table 4.8-62	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 2.1 on Inshore Plants and Motherships
Table 4.8-63	Impacts of Alternative 2.1 on Regional Processing
Table 4.8-64	Impacts of Alternative 2.1 on Regional Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-65	Impacts of Alternative 2.2 on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-66	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 2.2 on Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-67	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 2.2 on Catcher/processors

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.8-68	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 2.2 on Inshore Plants and Motherships
Table 4.8-69	Impacts of Alternative 2.2 on Regional Processing
Table 4.8-70	Impacts of Alternative 2.2 on Regional Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-71	Impacts of Alternative 3 on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-72	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 3 on Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-73	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 3 on Catcher Processors
Table 4.8-74	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 3 on Inshore Plants and Motherships
Table 4.8-75	Impacts of Alternative 3 on Regional Processing
Table 4.8-76	Impacts of Alternative 3 on Regional Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-77	Impacts of Alternative 4.1 on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-78	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 4.1 on Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-79	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 4.1 on Catcher/processors
Table 4.8-80	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 4.1 on Inshore Plants and Motherships
Table 4.8-81	Impacts of Alternative 4.1 on Regional Processing
Table 4.8-82	Impacts of Alternative 4.1 on Regional Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-83	Impacts of Alternative 4.2 on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-84	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 4.2 on Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-85	Summary of Alternative 4.2 Impacts on Catcher/processors
Table 4.8-86	Summary of Alternative 4.2 on Inshore Plants and Motherships
Table 4.8-87	Impacts of Alternative 4.2 on Regional Processing
Table 4.8-88	Impacts of Alternative 4.2 on Regional Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-89	Impacts of Alternative 5 on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-90	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 5 on Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-91	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 5 on Catcher/processors

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.8-92	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 5 on Inshore Plants and Motherships
Table 4.8-93	Impacts of Alternative 5 on Regional Processing
Table 4.8-94	Impacts of Alternative 5 on Regional Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-95	Impacts of Alternative 6.1 on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-96	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 6.1 on Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-97	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 6.1 on Catcher/processors
Table 4.8-98	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 6.1 on Inshore Plants and Motherships
Table 4.8-99	Impacts of Alternative 6.1 on Regional Processing
Table 4.8-100	Impacts of Alternative 6.1 on Regional Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-101	Impacts of Alternative 6.2 on Fishing and Processing Sectors
Table 4.8-102	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 6.2 on Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-103	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 6.2 on Catcher/processors
Table 4.8-104	Summary of Impacts of Alternative 6.2 on Inshore Plants and Motherships
Table 4.8-105	Impacts of Alternative 6.2 on Regional Processing
Table 4.8-106	Impacts of Alternative 6.2 on Regional Catcher Vessels
Table 4.8-107	Estimated Catch (Number of Fish) in Alaskan Region Salmon Fisheries, 1997 and 1998
Table 4.8-108	Matrix of Relationships and Impacts Between the Groundfish Fishery and Sea Lion Subsistence
Table 4.8-109	Documented Subsistence Harvest of Steller Sea Lions, Alaska Coastal Communities, Selected Years
Table 4.8-110	Estimated Subsistence Harvest of Steller Sea Lions by Alaska Region, 1992–1998
Table 4.8-111	Estimated Subsistence Harvest of Steller Sea Lions for Selected Communities, 1992–1998
Table 4.8-112	Summary of the Significance of Economic and Social Impacts of Alternative 1
Table 4.8-113	Summary of the Economic and Social Rankings of Alternatives 2.1 Through 6.2
Table 4.9-1	North Pacific Fishery Management Council Goals and Objectives for Ecosystem-Based Management

TABLES

(continued)

Table 4.9-2	Indicators of Amounts of Energy Removal and Redirection and Trophic Position of Removals for the Eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and the Gulf of Alaska for the Alternative 1 and Percent Change Between Other Alternatives for 2005
Table 4.9-3	Scoring System for Effects of the Alternatives on Predator-Prey Relationships, Energy Flow and Balance and Diversity
Table 4.9-4	Assessment of the Impact of the Alternative 1 on the Environment and Summary of Scores for Each Alternative, Reflecting Relative Levels of Protection for Predator-prey Relationships, Energy Flow and Balance, and Diversity
Table 4.9-5	Scoring System for Ranking How Well Each Alternative Achieves Ecosystem-Based Management Goals
Table 4.9-6	Scores for Each Alternatives Relative Level of Achieving Ecosystem-Based Management Goals
Table 4.10-1	Primary Management Measures Suggested by National Marine Fisheries Service to Implement the Alternatives
Table 4.13-1	Principles of Cumulative Effects Analysis
Table 4.13-2	Stepwise Procedure for Cumulative Effects Analysis
Table 4.13-3	Alaska State Fisheries Information
Table 4.13-4	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Steller Sea Lions
Table 4.13-5	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Northern Fur Seals
Table 4.13-6	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Harbor Seals
Table 4.13-7	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Other Pinnepeds
Table 4.13-8	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Sea Otters
Table 4.13-9	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Baleen Whales
Table 4.13-10	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Toothed Whales
Table 4.13-11	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Northern Fulmars
Table 4.13-12	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Short-Tailed Albatross
Table 4.13-13	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Other Albatross and Shearwaters
Table 4.13-14	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Piscivorous Seabirds

TABLES

(continued)

Table 4.13-15	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Spectacled and Steller's Eider
Table 4.13-16	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Other Seabirds
Table 4.13-17	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Walleye Pollock in the Eastern Bering Sea and the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-18	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Pacific Cod in the Eastern Bering Sea and the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-19	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Atka Mackerel in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.13-20	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Atka Mackerel in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-21	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Sablefish
Table 4.13-22	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Greenland Turbot in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.13-23	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Deep Water Flatfish in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-24	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Arrowtooth Flounder in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-25	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Flathead Sole in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.13-26	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Flathead Sole in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-27	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Rock Sole in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.13-28	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Other Flatfish in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.13-29	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Rex Sole in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-30	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Yellowfin Sole in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.13-31	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Shallow Water Flatfish in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-32	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Northern Rockfish in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska

TABLES
(continued)

Table 4.13-33	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Pacific Ocean Perch in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands
Table 4.13-34	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Pacific Ocean Perch in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-35	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for Thornyheads in the Gulf of Alaska
Table 4.13-36	Summary of Cumulative Effects of Each Alternative for all Other Rockfish
Table 4.13-37	Summary of Identified Prohibited Species Cumulative Effects
Table 4.13-38	Essential Fish Habitat Identified Cumulative Effects
Table 4.13-39	Cumulative Fishery Sector and Consumer Value Effects
Table 4.13-40	Cumulative Regional and Community Socioeconomic Effects
Table 4.13-41	Ecosystem Cumulative Effect Summary
Table 4.14-1	Summary of Environmental Consequences
Table 4.14-2	Comparison of Effects by Alternative for Analysis Categories used in the SEIS. Percentages Represent the Percent of Species in the Analysis Category that Exhibited Negative (Orange), Neutral (Yellow) or Positive (Green) Scores.

FIGURES

- Figure 4.1-1 Temporal distribution of a hypothetical fishery under the “low and slow” Alternative 2.1.
- Figure 4.1-2 Temporal distribution of a hypothetical fishery under the “short-burst” Alternative 2.2.
- Figure 4.1-3 Trawl exclusion zones during summer (June–November) around Steller sea lion rookeries and haulouts in the North Pacific Ocean.
- Figure 4.1-4 Trawl exclusion zones during winter (November–June) around Steller sea lion rookeries and haulouts in the North Pacific Ocean.
- Figure 4.1-5 Frequency distribution of consumption rates of walleye pollock among 20 species of pollock consumers in the eastern Bering Sea from 1985 to 1988 (Livingston 1993) shown as a bar graph in the top panel and represented by a normal distribution shown in the bell curves in both panels. The units of the abscissa are of equivalent scales showing the percent of the standing stock biomass consumed in the lower panel.
- Figure 4.1-6 Areas closed to pollock, Pacific cod, and Atka mackerel fisheries under Alternative 2.1.
- Figure 4.1-7 Areas currently closed to pollock and all trawl fisheries under the status quo and Alternative 2.2.
- Figure 4.1-8 Eastern Bering Sea year-round closed areas to all fishing. These areas represent 20 percent of the eastern Bering Sea management areas.
- Figure 4.1-9 Aleutian Islands year-round closed areas to all fishing. These areas represent 20 percent of the Aleutian Islands management areas out to 1000 m.
- Figure 4.1-10 Gulf of Alaska year-round closed areas to all fishing. These areas represent 20 percent of the Gulf of Alaska management areas out to 1000 m.
- Figure 4.1-11 Five different skate (*bathyraja*) species from one haul, 2000 Bering Sea slope.
- Figure 4.1-12 Distribution of skate species (1999 survey) and skate catch in the eastern Bering Sea, 1999.
- Figure 4.1-13 Distribution of skate species (1999 survey) and skate catch in the Gulf of Alaska, 1999.
- Figure 4.1-14 Distribution of skate species (1997 survey) and skate catch in the Aleutian Islands, 1999.
- Figure 4.1-15 The giant grenadier, *Albatrossia pectoralis*.
- Figure 4.1-16 Depth distribution of grenadier biomass in the 1999 Gulf of Alaska survey.
- Figure 4.1-17 The magistrate armhook squid, *Berryteuthis magister*.
- Figure 4.1-18 Distribution of squid species from bottom trawl and midwater surveys (dots) and catch (shaded squares), 1997–1999.

FIGURES

(continued)

- Figure 4.1-19 Squid closures (inside black outlines) applied to pelagic trawl gear, all seasons.
- Figure 4.1-20 Flow chart describing management actions to protect habitat based on Alternative 5.
- Figure 4.1-21 Example of open areas for directed bottom trawl fisheries for flatfish in the eastern Bering Sea, based on Alternative 5. Effort units are number of hauls per 25 square kilometers. Open areas were determined by including 90 percent of the directed flatfish effort during 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.1-22 Example of open areas for directed bottom trawl fisheries for Atka mackerel in the Aleutian Islands, based on Alternative 5. Effort units are number of hauls per 25 square kilometers. Open areas were determined by including 90 percent of the directed Atka mackerel effort during 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.1-23 Example of open areas for directed bottom trawl fisheries for flatfish in the Gulf of Alaska, based on Alternative 5. Effort units are number of hauls per 25 square kilometers. Open areas were determined by including 90 percent of the directed flatfish effort during 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.1-24 Weekly catch/processor pollock production as percentage of annual total.
- Figure 4.1-25 Weekly shoreside pollock production as percentage of annual total.
- Figure 4.1-26 Weekly mothership pollock production as percentage of annual total.
- Figure 4.2-1 All trawl fishery exclusion zones implemented in 1992 and the Atka mackerel trawl exclusion zone implemented in 1999 in Area 541.
- Figure 4.2-2 All trawl fishery exclusion zones implemented in 1991–1993 (10- and 20-nm radius) and the pollock trawl exclusion zones enacted under the revised final reasonable and prudent alternatives, including both 10- and 20-nm radius zones around Steller sea lion rookeries and haulouts and the Aleutian Islands region.
- Figure 4.2-3 Spatial dispersion of the eastern Bering Sea pollock fisheries in January–March and July–October 1998–2000 as measured by number of 100 km² cells where fishing occurred and the average pollock catch in each cell.
- Figure 4.2-4 Percent of annual eastern Bering Sea pollock catch caught in each month, 1998–1999, in metric tons.
- Figure 4.2-5 Estimated daily catch rates of pollock (mt) by the eastern Bering Sea pollock fishery in January–March 1998–2000.
- Figure 4.2-6 Spatial dispersion of the Gulf of Alaska pollock fisheries in January–March, June–August, and September–October 1998–2000 as measured by number of 100 km² cells where fishing occurred and the average pollock catch in each cell.
- Figure 4.2-7 Percent of annual Gulf of Alaska pollock catch caught each month.
- Figure 4.2-8 Estimated daily catch rates of pollock by the Gulf of Alaska pollock fishery in January–March 1998–2000.

FIGURES
(continued)

- Figure 4.2-9 Effects continuums for Steller sea lions, northern fur seals and harbor seals illustrating the relative degrees of protection offered by each alternative. The scores represent the averages, by alternative, across effects categories as listed in table 4.2-14.
- Figure 4.3-1 Relationship between fishing effort and number of birds hooked in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, 1993–1994.
- Figure 4.3-2 Relationship between fishing effort and number of birds hooked in the Gulf of Alaska, 1993–1999.
- Figure 4.3-3 Overlap of 1999 groundfish bottom trawl fishery with a proposed Steller’s eider critical habitat.
- Figure 4.3-4 Overlap of 1999 groundfish pot gear fishery with proposed Steller’s eider critical habitat.
- Figure 4.4-1 Alternative 3 buffer zones and areas of no fishing for the eastern Bering Sea.
- Figure 4.4-2 Alternative 3 buffer zones and areas of no fishing for the Gulf of Alaska.
- Figure 4.4-3 Sablefish maturity and fishery selectivity by age.
- Figure 4.4-4 Aleutian Islands areas closed year-round to all fishing—These areas represent 20 percent of the Aleutian Island management areas out to 1000.
- Figure 4.4-5 Aleutian Islands Atka mackerel maturity and fishery selectivity by age.
- Figure 4.4-6 Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands flathead sole maturity and selectivity.
- Figure 4.4-7 Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Alaska plaice maturity and selectivity.
- Figure 4.5-1 All catch (retained and discarded) by fishery management plan species category in each area, 1997 to 1999. Proportions are based on weight. Non-target species include the forage, nonspecified, other, and prohibited species categories.
- Figure 4.6-1 The Bristol Bay pot sanctuary and the winter halibut savings area.
- Figure 4.6-2 The three herring savings areas.
- Figure 4.6-3 The chum salmon savings area, the chinook salmon savings areas, and the catcher vessel operational area.
- Figure 4.6-4 The Pribilof Islands Habitat Conservation Area, the red king crab savings area, and the nearshore Bristol Bay trawl closure area.
- Figure 4.6-5 The crab bycatch limitation zones and regulatory Areas 512 and 516.
- Figure 4.6-6 Opilio Tanner crab bycatch limitation zone.

FIGURES
(continued)

- Figure 4.6-7 Kodiak Island trawl closure areas.
- Figure 4.6-8 Management areas defined by the International Pacific Halibut Commission.
- Figure 4.6-9 Length frequency of halibut observed in Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands and Gulf of Alaska groundfish fisheries, 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.6-10 Observed locations of recent halibut bycatch in bottom trawls, with target fishery distributions.
- Figure 4.6-11 Observed locations of recent halibut bycatch on longlines, with cod longliner target fishery.
- Figure 4.6-12 Alternative 2.1 closures (pink) with trawl halibut bycatch and target fishery locations.
- Figure 4.6-13 Alternative 2.1 closures with longline halibut bycatch and cod longline fishery locations.
- Figure 4.6-14 Alternative 3 closures (pink) with observed bottom trawl halibut bycatch.
- Figure 4.6-15 Alternative 3 closures with observed halibut longline bycatch and fishery locations.
- Figure 4.6-16 Alternative 5 closed area (pink) and observed bottom trawl halibut bycatch locations.
- Figure 4.6-17 Historical catch of Pacific herring in Alaska.
- Figure 4.6-18 Spatial distribution of herring bycatch within Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands pelagic pollock fishery, 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.6-19 Alternative 2.1 closures with status quo herring bycatch 1997–1999 for comparison.
- Figure 4.6-20 Alternative 3 Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands closures with status quo herring bycatch, 1997–1999 for comparison.
- Figure 4.6-21 Salmon management areas established by Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
- Figure 4.6-22 Distribution of salmon bycatch in the pelagic trawl fishery, 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.6-23 Alternative 2.2 closures (pink) with chinook salmon bycatch in pelagic trawl fisheries 1997–1999 for comparison.
- Figure 4.6-24 Alternative 3 closures and existing closures under Alternative 1 (pink), Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands chinook salmon bycatch in pelagic trawl, 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.6-25 Alternative 4 closures in addition to existing closures under Alternative 1 (pink), BSAI chinook salmon bycatch in pelagic trawl, 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.6-26 Distribution of Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands chum salmon bycatch in pelagic trawl, 1997–1999.

FIGURES

(continued)

- Figure 4.6-27 Alternatives 2.1 and 2.2 proposed closed areas in addition to Alternative 1 (pink) and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands chum salmon bycatch in pelagic trawl, 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.6-28 Alternative 3 proposed closed areas in addition to Alternative 1 (pink) and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands chum salmon bycatch in pelagic trawl, 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.6-29 Alternative 4 proposed closed areas in addition to Alternative 1 (pink) and Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands chum salmon bycatch in pelagic trawl, 1997–1999.
- Figure 4.7-1 Marine protected areas off Alaska where trawling is prohibited year-round to protect fish and crab habitat.
- Figure 4.7-2 Zones around Steller sea lion rookeries and haulouts where pollock trawling is prohibited to reduce competition for prey. The no-trawling zones were temporarily extended under court order in August 2000.
- Figure 4.9-1 Trends in number of groundfish fishing vessels, that caught groundfish off Alaska 1993 to 1997.
- Figure 4.9-2 Year-round trawl closure areas established to protect fish and crab habitat.
- Figure 4.9-3 Total discard rates of Alaska groundfish, all areas and species combined, 1993–1998, with projections through 2003.
- Figure 4.9-4 Estimated levels of total discards (target species, prohibited species, and non-target species) under Alternative 1 from 2001–2005 and under Alternatives 2.1 through 6.2 in 2005. These estimates assume that improved retention requirements for yellowfin sole and rock sole in the Bering Sea and shallow water flatfish in the Gulf of Alaska begin in 2003 and will cause zero discards for those species beginning in 2003, over all alternatives except 6.1, which removes improved retention requirements.
- Figure 4.13-1 Framework for cumulative effects analysis.
- Figure 4.13-2 Analytical framework for cumulative effects analysis.
- Figure 4.13-3 Example of a Tier 1 matrix.
- Figure 4.13-4 Example of a Tier 2 matrix.